UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/722,928   | 11/26/2003                 | Bonnie B. Sandel     | 102289-100          | 1181             |
| 27267<br>WIGGIN AND  | 7590 01/13/200<br>DANA LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| ATTENTION:   | PATENT DOCKETIN            | FRAZIER, BARBARA S   |                     |                  |
| ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832<br>NEW HAVEN, CT 06508-1832 |                            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                            |                      | 1611                |                  |
|  |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|  |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                            |                      | 01/13/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/722,928      | SANDEL ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |  |
| BARBARA FRAZIER | 1611          |  |  |

| <i></i>  | Examine  | Aironn   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | BARBARA FRAZIER  | 1611   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add   | ress  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>29 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v  | t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or   | hich places the (3) a Request                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>  | of the final rejection.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(   | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPER 706 07(f).     |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL                                       | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date                | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic  | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f   | iled within two month  | s of the date of  |  |  |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u><br>3.  | but prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered be   | .001100   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading they raise new issues that would require further collection.</li> </ol>  |  |  | cause   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | •  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet<br/>appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | ter form for appeal by materially rec  | lucing or simplifying t  | ne issues for   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally reje   | cted claims.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | mpliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).  |  | •  | -   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6.8-15 and 33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7 and 16-32.  |  | be entered and an e  | xplanation of   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | l and/or appellant fail  | s to provide a  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  | •  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  The teachings of Lyon are relied upon to show that it is I  wherein a bond forms between the antimicrobial agent a  obvious to apply the step of adding the potentiator, taug  contains a metal ion bound to a polymer. Applicant's cit  preferred embodiments, and do not limit the invention of  unobviousness of the claimed invention over the closest | known to apply an antimicrobial solund the metal ion of the substrate. ( ht by Lyon, to the substrate of Lave ations from columns 2 and 3 of Lyo Lyon. Additionally, Applicants have | ution to a metal-contain<br>One skilled in the art v<br>r, since the substrate<br>n are drawn to examp | ning substrate,<br>vould find it<br>of Laver already<br>les and |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.  Other:  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Sharmila Gollamudi Landau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1611 /B. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 1611

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090109

Application No.